

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/667,406	09/23/2003	Jodi Kremerman	2871/3	1072
DR. MARK FRIEDMAN LTD. C/o Bill Polkinghorn Discovery Dispatch 9003 Florin Way			EXAMINER	
			FRISBY, KESHA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3715	
Upper Marlboro	o, MD 20772		DATE MAILED: 08/08/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/667,406	KREMERMAN, JODI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kesha Frisby	3715
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 9/23/2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	☑ accepted or b)☐ objectented by accepted or b)☐ objectented in abeyone the drawing acception is required if the drawing the drawing acceptance or because the drawing acceptance or by ☐ objected or b)☐ objecte	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplica	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		r Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	——————————————————————————————————————	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/667,406 Page 2

Art Unit: 3715

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear to the examiner as to what "a real object" is. The examiner is confused as to what the difference is between "a real object" and "said object" in this claim. The examiner would like for the applicant to provide some clarification so that this claim can be better understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6, 9, 10, 14, 17 & 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Renney (U.S. Patent Number 5,939,981).

Referring to claim 1, Renney discloses (a) a plurality of objects for hiding, each of said objects having emitters (abstract: multiple sensors/chips which are applied to objects the user desires to locate), and (b) a control unit (hand held device) including: (i) a control panel having a plurality of activators, each activator of said activators having an associative figure disposed on said control panel and having an association with a particular object of said objects (Fig.1A and the associated text); (ii) a signal producer, operatively connected to said activators (abstract: when a preselected button is depressed & radio/electronic signal), and (iii) a transmission mechanism for transmitting said signals to said objects (abstract: a transmitter sends signal), wherein each particular one of said activators, when selected (abstract: when a preselected button is depressed), activates a corresponding one of said emitters (abstract: multiple sensors/chips), via said signal producer and said transmission mechanism, so as to produce a sound associated with said associative figure disposed on said control panel (abstract: audio tone).

Referring to claim 2, Renney discloses wherein each of said activators is selected by the player (abstract: when a preselected button is depressed).

Referring to claim 3, Renney discloses wherein the player utilizes said associative sound to locate said object (column 9 lines 26-31).

Referring to claim 4, Renney discloses wherein said association is a shape association, such that said associative figure substantially matches a shape of said particular object (The examiner views this limitation as the shape on the locator button, for example, glasses matches the shape of the glasses that need to be located).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,406

Art Unit: 3715

Referring to claim 6, Renney discloses each said object is selected from the group of objects consisting of toy animals, colors, numbers, shapes, and letters (column 1 lines 11-15).

Referring to claim 9, Renney discloses wherein said activator on said control panel is an associated figure associated with said object (Fig. 1A: for example, the glasses on the control panel represent what needs to be located).

Referring to claim 10, Renney discloses wherein said associated figure associated with said object is disposed on said activator (Fig. 1A: the figures located on the locator buttons).

Referring to claim 14, Renney discloses wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is substantially a sound emitted by a real object represented by said object (abstract: the sound comes from each receiver).

Referring to claim 17, Renney discloses wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is a hint pertaining to said object (abstract: the tones become louder the closer the hand held device is to the desired multiple sensors/chip).

Referring to claim 19, Renney discloses (a) providing a game system including:

- (i) a plurality of objects for hiding, each of said objects having emitters (abstract:
- multiple sensors/chips which are applied to objects the user desires to locate),
- (ii) a control unit (hand held device) including: (A) a control panel having a plurality of activators, each activator of said activators having an associative figure disposed on said control panel and having an association with a particular object of said objects (Fig.1A and the associated text); (B) a signal producer, operatively connected to said

activators (abstract: when a preselected button is depressed & radio/electronic signal), and (C) a transmission mechanism for transmitting said signals to said objects (abstract: a transmitter sends signal), and (b) activating the element, using said activator (abstract: when a preselected button is depressed), so as to produce an associative sound, each said sound associated with said associative figure disposed on said control panel (abstract: audio tone).

Referring to claim 20, Renney discloses wherein step (b) is performed by the player (abstract: when a preselected button is depressed).

Referring to claim 21, Renney discloses further comprising the step of: (c) utilizing said associative sound to locate said particular object (abstract: the tones become louder the closer the hand held device is to the desired multiple sensors/chip).

Referring to claim 22, Renney discloses wherein each said object is selected from the group of objects consisting of: toy animals, colors, numbers, shapes, and letters (column 1 lines 11-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5, 7, 8, 12, 23 & 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Renney in view of Love (U.S. Patent Number 6,554,616).

34-40).

Referring to claim 5, Renney discloses the game system of claim 4. Renney does not disclose wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is based on a name of said shape. Renney does disclose a speaker that allows sound to come from it. However, Love teaches wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is based on a name of said shape (column 5 lines 42-49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is based on a name of said shape, as disclosed by Love, incorporated into Renney in order to emit the name of the shape. Referring to claim 6, Renney discloses the game system of claim 1. Renney does not disclose wherein said association is a color association, such that a color of said associative figure substantially matches a color of said particular object. However, Love teaches wherein said association is a color association, such that a color of said associative figure substantially matches a color of said particular object (column 5 lines 34-40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include wherein said association is a color association, such that a color of said associative figure substantially matches a color of said particular object, as disclosed by Love, incorporated into Renney in order to emit the name of the color twice in the foreign language and twice in English. Referring to claim 8, Renney, as modified by Love, teaches wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is based on a name of said color (column 5 lines

Referring to claim 12, Renney discloses the game system of claim 2. Renney does not disclose wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is a name of said object. However, Love teaches wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is a name of said object (column 5 lines 42-49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is a name of said object, as disclosed by Love, incorporated into Renney in order to emit the name of the shape.

Referring to claim 23, Renney discloses the method of claim 19. Renney does not disclose further comprising the step of: (c) acquiring associative knowledge by association of said associative figure disposed on said control panel, with said associative sound. However, Love teaches further comprising the step of: (c) acquiring associative knowledge by association of said associative figure disposed on said control panel, with said associative sound (column 5 lines 35-57). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include further comprising the step of: (c) acquiring associative knowledge by association of said associative figure disposed on said control panel, with said associative sound, as disclosed by Love, incorporated into Renney in order to emit the name of the color twice in the foreign language and twice in English.

Referring to claim 24, Renney, as modified by Love, teaches further comprising the step of: (d) associating said associative sound and said particular object, so as to reinforce said associative knowledge (column 5 lines 35-57)..

Application/Control Number: 10/667,406 Page 8

Art Unit: 3715

7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Renney.

Referring to claim 11, Renney discloses the game system of claim 2 and wherein said associated figure associated with said object is disposed on said activator. Renney does not disclose wherein said associated figure associated with said object is disposed adjacent to said activator. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have to object disposed adjacent to said activator, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

8. Claims 13, 15 & 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Renney in view of Frazer et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,604,065).

Referring to claim 13, Renney discloses the game system of claim 12. Renney does not disclose wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is an audible spelling of said name of said object. However, Frazer et al. teaches wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is an audible spelling of said name of said object (column 3 lines 23-31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is an audible spelling of said name of said object, as disclosed by Frazer et al., incorporated into Renney in order to teach spelling.

Referring to claim 15, Renney discloses the game system of claim 12. Renney does not disclose wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is an audible spelling of said name of said object. However, Frazer et al. teaches wherein said sound

associated with said associative figure is an audible spelling of said name of said object (column 3 lines 23-31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is an audible spelling of said name of said object, as disclosed by Frazer et al., incorporated into Renney in order to teach spelling.

Referring to claim 16, Renney discloses the game system of claim 2. Renney does not disclose wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is a spelling of a sound emitted by a real object represented by said object. However, Frazer et al. teaches wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is a spelling of a sound emitted by a real object represented by said object (column 3 lines 23-31. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is a spelling of a sound emitted by a real object represented by said object, as disclosed by Frazer et al., incorporated into Renney in order to teach spelling.

9. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Renney in view of Golfarb (U.S. Patent Number 5,145,447).

Referring to claim 18, Renney discloses the game system of claim 2. Renney does not disclose wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is at least part of a song pertaining to said object. However, Golfarb teaches wherein said sound associated with said associative figure is at least part of a song pertaining to said object (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include wherein said sound associated with said associative

figure is at least part of a song pertaining to said object, as disclosed by Golfarb, incorporated into Renney so that children can associate the song or poem with the object.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Goodwin (U.S. Patent Number 6,979,245) teaches a puzzle apparatus with audio sounds where actuator buttons have figured disposed on them.

Mirando (U.S. Patent Number 5,411,271) teaches an electronic video match game.

Rehkemper (U.S. Patent Number 6,638,168) teaches a sound elimination game and apparatus.

Lebensfeld et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,311,982) teaches a hide and find toy game.

Conte et al. (U.S. Publication Number 2002/0014742) teaches an enhanced hide and seek game and method of playing game.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kesha Frisby whose telephone number is 571-272-8774. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Wed. 7-3pm, Thu. 6:30-4pm & Fri. 7-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on 571-272-6678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,406 Page 11

Art Unit: 3715

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

hyf Kvf 8/2/2006

WEST P. OLSZEWSKI

STORY CENTER SEE 3700